

Questions & Answers About the Local Taxpayer, Public Safety and Transportation Protection Act

WHAT IS YOUR MEASURE AND WHAT DOES IT PROPOSE TO DO?

The Local Taxpayer, Public Safety and Transportation Protection Act is a constitutional amendment that we are working to place on California's November 2010 statewide ballot. The initiative would stop the State from raiding or borrowing funding for local public safety, transportation, transit and other essential local government services. Specifically, the measure would:

- Prohibit the State from taking, borrowing or redirecting local taxpayer funds dedicated to public safety, emergency response and other vital local government services. The measure would close loopholes to prevent the taking of funds currently dedicated to cities, counties, special districts and redevelopment agencies. It would also end the State's fiscally irresponsible practice of borrowing local government property tax funds.
- ✓ <u>Protect vital, dedicated transportation and public transit funds from State raids</u>. The measure would prevent State borrowing, taking or redirecting of the state sales tax on gasoline (Prop 42 funds) and Highway User Tax on gasoline (HUTA) funds that voters have dedicated to transportation maintenance and improvements. It would also prevent the State from redirecting or taking public transit funds.
- ✓ <u>Protect local taxpayers</u> by keeping more of our local tax dollars local where there's more accountability to voters, and by ensuring once and for all that our gas taxes go to fund road improvements. The measure also reduces pressure for local tax and fee increases that become necessary when the State redirects local funds.

WHY IS IT NEEDED?

Unfortunately, the State has continued its irresponsible practice of taking and borrowing local taxpayer dollars and dedicated transportation funds. The 2009/10 state budget <u>borrows and takes approximately \$5 billion in city</u>, <u>county</u>, transit, redevelopment and special district funds this year despite the fact that voters have overwhelmingly <u>passed ballot measures to keep local funding at the local level to provide essential local services</u>. This year's raids and previous, ongoing state raids and borrowing jeopardize the services Californians need most, including police, fire and emergency 911 services; local economic development and redevelopment; mass transit like buses and commuter rail; and transportation improvements like road repairs and congestion relief. We need to pass this measure to protect these vital local services from State raids and borrowing.

ISN'T FUNDING FOR LOCAL GOVERNMENT AND TRANSPORTATION ALREADY PROTECTED FROM STATE RAIDS?

California voters have overwhelmingly passed separate measures to prevent the State from raiding local government and transportation funds. However, each and every year the State attempts to take or borrow local government, transportation and transit funding using loopholes, or illegal funding diversions that have only been stopped after expensive and lengthy court battles. This year alone, the Legislature:

• Borrowed approximately \$2 billion in property taxes from local governments, despite no clear path to repay these funds.

- Took \$2.05 billion in local redevelopment funds, despite a recent Superior Court ruling that says these types of raids are unconstitutional.
- Shifted \$910 million in transit funding away from local transit agencies. The courts have since ruled these types of raids are unconstitutional.
- Voted to take more than \$1 billion of the local government share of the Highway User Tax (HUTA) to repay state bond debt (but the measure stalled in Assembly). These are funds that have always been used to finance local road repairs and maintenance.
- Took action to eliminate the state sales tax on gasoline (Prop 42 funds) and HUTA and replace with a
 gasoline "fee" that would have no constitutional protection from future raids by the legislature (the Governor
 ultimately vetoed this measure).
- Threatened to borrow Prop 42 transportation funds to address the State's deficit.

Our measure would close loopholes in current law that the legislature has exploited to take or divert local funds. And it would tighten sections of the law to prevent illegal State funding raids of local government and transportation funds before they happen.

WHY DOES YOUR MEASURE PREVENT THE STATE FROM BORROWING LOCAL GOVERNMENT AND TRANSPORTATION FUNDS?

The local government revenue protection measure in 2004 (Prop 1A) and the transportation revenue protection measure in 2006 (Prop 1A) included provisions that allow the State to borrow these funds during fiscal emergencies. However, after several budget cycles it is clear that these borrowing provisions are not only bad for local governments and transportation services, but fiscally irresponsible for the State. Borrowing these dedicated funds only plunges our state deeper into debt because the funds must to be repaid, *with interest* within three years.

The borrowing was meant to provide an outlet in short-term budget emergencies, but it's instead being used to paper over structural budget problems. For example, the State has no clear way to pay back the \$2 billion plus interest in local property taxes that the State is borrowing as part of this year's 2009-2010 State budget, yet lawmakers borrowed these funds anyway.

What's more, because the State has the authority to borrow local government and transportation funds, it creates mass uncertainty for cities and counties who need to plan and pass their local budgets, and for transportation and transit planners who aren't sure if they can rely on these revenues in any given year.

DOES THIS MEASURE INCREASE OR DECREASE REVENUES FOR LOCAL GOVERNMENTS OR FOR TRANSPORTATION AND TRANSIT?

This measure does not increase or decrease the existing revenues that are dedicated to local government, transportation and transit funds. It simply prevents the State from borrowing or raiding *existing* local government, transportation and transit revenues that voters have dedicated to these services.

WON'T THIS MAKE OUR STATE'S BUDGET SYSTEM EVEN WORSE BY FURTHER PUTTING A LOCK BOX ON BILLIONS OF DOLLARS IN FUNDING?

First, these are revenues that have historically been dedicated to cities, counties and special districts to fund local government services. It's fiscally irresponsible for State Government to raid funds from local governments.

Second, it's important to remember that these are funds that voters have ALREADY dedicated to local government, transportation and transit services. We are not dedicating any NEW funding for these services, but instead ensuring

that the will of voters is upheld by protecting local government and transportation funds from further State raids and borrowing.

This reform is fiscally responsible and a key step in long-term reform for California. The State has gotten itself into this deep fiscal mess in large part because lawmakers have relied on budget gimmicks like tapping into voter-protected funds and borrowing which only pushes our problems into the future.

HOW DOES THIS MEASURE FIT INTO THE NEED FOR BROAD REFORM OF STATE GOVERNMENT IN CALIFORNIA?

This measure is a necessary and responsible first step toward fiscal reform in California. Virtually everyone agrees that State reforms must include the restoration of more local control over local tax dollars, and moving services closer to the people at the local level. This measure ensures local control, predictability, and accountability for local tax dollars that are used to provide the most essential local services.

WILL THIS MEASURE IMPACT FUNDING FOR PUBLIC SCHOOLS, HEALTHCARE OR OTHER SERVICES?

No. This measure does not take away funding from schools or any other service funded by the State because it only protects EXISTING funds that are already dedicated to local services like public safety and transportation. And this measure in no-way alters Proposition 98, which guarantees funding levels for K-14 schools.

HOW WILL THIS MEASURE IMPACT TAXPAYERS?

This measure provides further protections for *existing* revenues that voters have already dedicated to local government, transportation and transit services. It does not increase taxes. In fact, this measure protects taxpayers by keeping more of our tax dollars local where they're more accountable. And this measure decreases pressure for local tax and fee increases at the local government level that become needed when the state takes local revenues and local governments are forced to look for new revenues to protect vital services.